This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

☐ OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.

☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY





United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/682,107	07/20/2001	Jefferson M. Kommers	VIGN1270	5626
25094 75	590 09/01/2004		EXAMINER	
GRAY, CARY, WARE & FREIDENRICH LLP			AL HASHEMI, SANA A	
2000 University	y Avenue			
	CA 94303-2248		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2171	
			DATE MAILED: 09/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



			1.) 1			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/682,107	KOMMERS ET AL.	~			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sana Al-Hashemi	2171				
The MAILING DATE of this communicat Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	: 			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ation. 19s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communi BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Status 						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o						
,—	This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice t	under Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.L	J. 11, 455 O.G. 215.				
Disposition of Claims	*					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the appl	lication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6) Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	d/or algorian requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.		,			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-15	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			:			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	4) 🗖 late= ::	Summon (DTO 412)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- 	· —	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Status: 1 –26 are rejected.

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/2/2003 has been entered.

Applicant's arguments filed 6/18/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-24 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Caid et al. (US Patent No. 5,619,709) in view of Light (US Patent No. 5,774,888).

1. Regarding Claims 1, 7, 13, and 19, Caid discloses a method of searching for a plurality of information objects comprising:

Art Unit: 2171

Receiving a first query, (see Fig. 2A, column 6, lines 38-45, Caid);

Automatically expanding a scope of a search from the first query to a second query based on a hierarchy of keywords associated with the plurality information objects (see column 15, lines 42-50, Caid);

searching the database using the second query (see column 5, lines 26-36, Caid); finding a first identifier for a first: information object that corresponds to the second query, wherein the first information object is part of the plurality of information objects (see column 5, lines 37-48, Caid);

Caid does not disclose the terminology "expanding a scope of a search from the first query to a second query". However, Light discloses automatically expanding the scope of search (see column 4, lines 60-63, Light). It would have been obvious to the ordinary skilled artisan at the time of the invention to add/modify the Caid system by expanding the scope of search with the motivation of providing users with more options and higher number of responses which increases the possibility of receiving all the results needed.

2. Regarding Claims 2, and 14, the combination of Caid and Light discloses a method wherein:

the database comprises a plurality of information objects including the first information object and a plurality of keywords and the hierarchy of keyword, wherein the plurality of keywords include a first keyword and a second keyword (see column 5, lines 55-58, Caid);

each information object within the plurality of information objects has at least one related keyword from the plurality of keywords (see column 5, lines 37-48, Caid);

Art Unit: 2171

the hierarchy defines a relationship among the keywords lying at a different levels within the hierarchy (see Fig. 14, Caid;

the first query comprises the first keyword but not the second keyword (see Fig. 14, Caid, and

the second keyword query comprises the first and second keyword (see column 5, lines 59-62, Caid).

3. Regarding Claims 3, and 15, the combination of Caid and Light discloses a method further comprising:

automatically determining a first association score between the first keyword and the second keyword based at least in part upon their positions within the hierarchy (see column 13, lines 1-8, Caid).

- 4. Regarding Claims 4, and 16, the combination of Caid and Light discloses a method further comprising automatically determining a second association score between the first keyword and the third keyword based upon their positions within the hierarchy, wherein expanding the first query is performed such that the second query does not include the third keyword (see Fig. 4, Caid).
- 5. Regarding Claims 5, and 17, the combination of Caid and Light discloses a method further comprising filtering at least some of the information objects within the plurality of information objects to meet a defined criterion (see column 13, lines 40-46, Caid).
- 6. Regarding Claims 6, 18, 25, and 26, the combination of Caid and Light discloses a method further comprising:

Art Unit: 2171

determining an association score between each keyword within the first query and each keyword within the second query based at least in part upon their positions within the hierarchy; and

calculating a first relevance score for the first information object, wherein (see column 11, lines 20-25, Caid)

at least one first relevancy rating is obtained for the first information object (see column 11, lines 11-15, Caid);

the first relevance score includes a first sum divided by a number of keywords within the second query (see column 11, lines 11-15, Caid);

the first sum includes a first summation of first products (see column 11, lines 16-20, Caid);

and for each keyword within the second query, its first product includes a corresponding first association score and a corresponding first relevance rating (see column 4, lines 40-45, Caid).

7. Regarding Claims 8, and 20, the combination of Caid and Light discloses a method further comprising:

searching a database using the second query, wherein an information object is associated with the second keyword but is riot associated with the first keyword (see column 6, lines 27-30, Caid);

and sending a second signal that includes an object identifier for the information object (see column 6, lines 30-37, Caid)..

8. Regarding Claims 9, 11, and 23, the combination of Caid and Light discloses a method wherein:

Art Unit: 2171

the first keyword, the second keyword, and a third keyword are represented by a first node, a second node, and a third node, respectively, within the keyword hierarchy (see Fig. 14, Caid);

the method further comprises determining a first association score between the first node and the second node and a second association score between the first node and the third node, wherein the first and second association scores indicate that the first node is more closely associated with the second node than the third node (see column 13, lines 1-8, Caid);

and expanding is performed such that the second query does not include the third keyword (see column 6, lines 40-46, Caid).

- 9. Regarding Claim 10, the combination of Caid and Light discloses a method automatically determining an association score between the first keyword and the second keyword based at least in part upon their positions within the keyword hierarchy, wherein one keyword lies at a parent node and another keyword lies at a child node within the keyword hierarchy (see column 13, lines 1-8, Caid).
- 10. Regarding Claim 11, Caid discloses a method wherein the association score is a function of a distance between connected nodes within the keyword hierarchy, wherein one keyword lies at a parent node and another keyword lies at a child node within the keyword hierarchy (see Fig. 14, Caid).
- 11. Regarding Claims 12, and 24, Caid discloses a method wherein automatically determining the association score includes determining a first common ancestor wherein one keyword lies at a parent node and another keyword lies at a child node within the keyword hierarchy (see column 9, liens 55-59, Caid).

Art Unit: 2171

12. Regarding Claim 21, the combination of Caid and Light disclose a data processing system readable medium wherein:

the first keyword, the second keyword, and a third keyword are represented by a first node, a second node, and a third node, respectively, within the keyword hierarchy (see Fig. 2A, Caid);

the method further comprises determining a first association score between the first node and the second node and a second association score between the first node and the third node (see Fig. 2B, Caid);

wherein the first and second association scores indicate that the first node is more closely associated with the second node than the third node (see Fog. 2C, Caid); and expanding is performed such that the second query does not include the third keyword (see Fig. 2F, Caid).

13. Regarding Claim 22, the combination of Caid and Light discloses a data processing system readable medium the method further comprises automatically determining an association score between the first keyword and the second keyword based at least in part upon their positions within the hierarchy, wherein one keyword lies at a parent node and another keyword lies at a child node within the keyword hierarchy (see column 13, lines 1-8, Caid).

Art Unit: 2171

Response to Amendment

Applicant argues that Caid in view of Light fails to disclose "expanding a scope of search from a first query to a second query."

Examiner disagrees. The combination of Caid in view of Light teaches the step of expanding scope of search from the first query to a second query, Referring to Fig. 2 A-F, Caid discloses the step of selecting a keyword from the list of hierarchy of keywords and Caid at column 15, lines 42-50, does expand the search within the requested terms by searching the "sub-icons" which provides more information to the user related to the searched term as disclosed in column 15, lines 42-49, Caid. Caid does not teach the terminology "expanding a scope of a search from the first query to a second query." However, Light discloses automatically expanding the scope of search (see column 4, lines 60-63, Light). The combination clearly teaches the method of employing additional steps to expand the scope of terms by adding synonymous terms as taught by Light. On the other hand,

Art Unit: 2171

Points of Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to: Sana Al-Hashemi whose telephone number is (703) 305-4881. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic, can be reached on (703) 308-1436. Any response to this office action should be mailed to: The Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231. Or telefax at phone number (703) 872-9306. For formal or draft communications, please label "PROSPOSED" or "DRAFT". Hand-delivered response should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, 6th Floor Receptionist, Arlington, Virginia. 22202.

Sana Al-Hashemi Patent Examiner Technology Center 2100 August 23, 2004

> SAFET METJAHIC SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100